

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,662		10/20/2003	Hideo Sawaoka	2018-793	4426
23117	7590	01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
		ERHYE, PC	HOANG, JOHNNY H		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			2001	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3747	
			DATE MAIL ED. 01/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/687,662	SAWAOKA, HIDEO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Johnny H. Hoang	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 October 2005</u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 and 21-34 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3.4,7,8,11 and 12 is/at Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,9,10 and 21-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	are withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers		·				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment	t(s)						
I) 🛭 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 21-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by the Actron OBD II Diagnostic Tester (2000 Actron Manufacturing Co.).

Regarding claims 1, and 2, the Actron OBD II Diagnostic Tester including the following

subject matters:

a completion status determination part that determines whether a diagnosis process of

the diagnosis function is completed or not (see page 1-1); and

a diagnosis function evaluation part that determines the fault diagnosis function is not a

normal condition when the diagnosis process is not completed during one of a predetermined

number and a predetermined time period (see page 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, and 2-2).

Regarding claims 5, and 6, as discussed in above claims, the Actron OBD II Diagnostic

Tester further checking the components to the computer which are used for (typically): fuel

delivery, idle speed control, spark timing and emission system (see page 1-1).

Regarding claims 9, and 10, as above discussions, the Actron OBD II Diagnostic Tester

further store the diagnostic trouble code in the memory (page 1-1) and tester can also erase the

codes (page 2-1, and 4-2).

Regarding claim 21, as discussed in claim 1, and 2

Regarding claims 22, 23, and 34, the Actron OBD II Diagnostic Tester is including the

On-Board Computer which including the computer readable medium.

Regarding claims 24-29, as discussed in the rejections of claims 1, and 2.

Regarding claims 30-33, as discussed in the rejections of claims 5, and 6.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 21-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bischof et al (US 6,125,322).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 21, and 24-33, the reference of Bischof et al discloses the system for a fault diagnosis function, which is including the process of the diagnosis function, is completed or not (see Fig. 2, col. 4, line 20 through col. 5, line 67).

Regarding claims 22, 23, and 34, the reference of Bischof et al further teaches a control unit (10) processed and supplied to a microcomputer (22), with respect to its program structure, essentially subdivided into three level (col. 2, line 17 through col. 4, line 18).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 14, 2005 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 21-34 are pending.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4843. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00Am-5: 30Pm).

Art Unit: 3747

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (571) 272-4856.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHH 01/03/06 Johnny H. Hoang Examiner Art Unit 3747

al M. Ol -

Andrew M. Dolinar Primary Examiner